1 Thomas M. Baker – Arizona Bar # 013475 Appearing *pro hac vice* in this matter 2 BAKER & BAKER 5050 N. 8th Place #10 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85014 4 (602) 279-1644 tom@bakerandbaker.com 5 6 Attorney for Defendant David Damante 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 Case No. 2:11 CR-064 – JCM-PAL 12 United States of America, **DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR A FIVE** 13 Plaintiff, (5) BUSINESS DAY EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE HIS v. 14 REPLIES TO THE GOVERNMENT'S 15 **RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS** 16 DAVID DAMANTE, 17 (1st Request) Defendant. 18 19 20 Comes now Defendant David Damante, by and through his attorney undersigned, and 21 moves for a five (5) day extension of time in which to file his replies to the Government's 22 responses to Defendant's Motions. 23 It is expected that excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D) will occur as a 24 25 result of this motion or of an order based thereon. 26 Defendant requests this additional time because his counsel is a sole practitioner and 27 has had to devote substantial time to drafting and filing numerous pleadings in other matters, 28

1	including the complaint for declaratory action and injunctive relief in <u>Litton et. al. v. City of</u>		
2	Phoenix et. al., Howard Taylor Real Party-in-Interest, CV2011-014526. Defendant's		
3	counsel also devoted substantial time to trial preparation in <u>State v. Guerrieri</u> , 4311623.		
5	<u>Guerrieri</u> was set for jury trial on Tuesday, August 2, 2011 however, the case resolved that day		
6	after the Court ruled on pending motions in limine and prior to the jury panel arriving.		
7	Defendant's counsel has attempted to contact AUSA Roger Yang regarding this		
8	extension of time. However, he was unable to reach Mr. Yang on Friday, August 5 th , 2011 or		
9	Monday, August 8, 2011.		
10	WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, Defendant request a five (5) business day		
11 12	extension of time in which to file his replies to the Government's responses to Defendant's		
13	Motions or until Monday, August 15, 2011.		
14	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this <u>8th</u> day of August, 2011. BAKER & BAKER		
15			
16			
17	By <u>/s/ Thomas M. Baker</u> Thomas M. Baker		
18 19	Attorney for Defendant		
20	ELECTRONIC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
21	I HEREBY Affirm that on this 8 th day of August, 2011, the foregoing Motion for a Five		
22	(5) Business Day Extension of Time in which to file his Replies to the Government's Responses to Defendant's Motions (Doc 23) was served upon counsel of record via ECF		
23	(electronic case filing).		
24	BAKER & BAKER		
25 26			
20 27	By <u>/s/ Thomas M. Baker</u> Thomas M. Baker		
28	Attorney for Defendant		

1			
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
4	United States of America,	Case No. 2:11 CR-064 – JCM-PAL	
5	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
6	V.	\$ 5.5 <u>-</u>	
7	DAVID DAMANTE,		
8	Defendant.		
9			
10	Defendant David Damante, having filed a Motion for an extension of time in which to		
11			
12	file his replies to the Government's responses to the Defendant's pending motions, and good		
13	cause appearing,		
14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting the extension of time for the Defendant t		
15	file his replies to the Government's responses to the Defendant's pending motions up to, and		
16	including, Monday, August 15, 2011.		
17			
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 3161(h)(8)(A) is		
19	found to commence on for	a total of days.	
20	DATED this 32 day of August, 2011.		
21			
22			
23	Juggy a. Feen		
24	United States Oci kntcvg Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28			